

4-Chloro-N-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-methylbenzenesulfonamide

B. Thimme Gowda,^{a*} Sabine Foro,^b P. G. Nirmala^a and Hartmut Fuess^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri 574 199, Mangalore, India, and ^bInstitute of Materials Science, Darmstadt University of Technology, Petersenstrasse 23, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany
Correspondence e-mail: gowdab@yahoo.com


Received 6 July 2010; accepted 7 July 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 299$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004 \text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.043; wR factor = 0.122; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.8.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl}_2\text{NO}_2\text{S}$, the conformations of the N–C bonds in the C–SO₂–NH–C segment have *gauche* torsions with respect to the S=O bonds. Further, the conformation of the N–H bond is *syn* to the *ortho*-methyl group in the sulfonyl benzene ring. The torsion angle of the C–SO₂–NH–C segment in the molecule is 55.0 (2)°. The two benzene rings are tilted relative to each other by 67.0 (1)°. In the crystal, intermolecular N–H···O hydrogen bonds link the molecules into infinite column-like chains.

Related literature

For the preparation of the title compound, see: Savitha & Gowda (2006). For our studies of the effect of substituents on the structures of *N*-(aryl)arylsulfonamides, see: Gowda *et al.* (2009a,b; 2010). For related structures, see: Gelbrich *et al.* (2007); Perlovich *et al.* (2006).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl}_2\text{NO}_2\text{S}$
 $M_r = 316.19$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 9.2696 (5) \text{ \AA}$

$b = 9.8591 (5) \text{ \AA}$
 $c = 15.813 (1) \text{ \AA}$
 $\beta = 95.222 (6)^\circ$
 $V = 1439.15 (14) \text{ \AA}^3$
 $Z = 4$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.59 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 299 \text{ K}$
 $0.40 \times 0.32 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer with a Sapphire CCD detector
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis RED*; Oxford)

Diffraction, 2009)
 $T_{\min} = 0.798$, $T_{\max} = 0.852$
9765 measured reflections
2938 independent reflections
2408 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.015$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.122$
 $S = 1.04$
2938 reflections
175 parameters
1 restraint

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.30 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.42 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N}1-\text{H}1\text{N}\cdots\text{O}1^{\text{i}}$	0.85 (1)	2.04 (1)	2.868 (2)	166 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BQ2225).

References

- Gelbrich, T., Hursthouse, M. B. & Threlfall, T. L. (2007). *Acta Cryst. B* **63**, 621–632.
Gowda, B. T., Foro, S., Nirmala, P. G., Babitha, K. S. & Fuess, H. (2009a). *Acta Cryst. E* **65**, o476.
Gowda, B. T., Foro, S., Nirmala, P. G., Babitha, K. S. & Fuess, H. (2009b). *Acta Cryst. E* **65**, o717.
Gowda, B. T., Foro, S., Nirmala, P. G. & Fuess, H. (2010). *Acta Cryst. E* **66**, o1702.
Oxford Diffraction (2009). *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
Perlovich, G. L., Tkachev, V. V., Schaper, K.-J. & Raevsky, O. A. (2006). *Acta Cryst. E* **62**, o780–o782.
Savitha, M. B. & Gowda, B. T. (2006). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil A*, **60**, 600–606.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2000 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810026930]

4-Chloro-N-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-methylbenzenesulfonamide

B. T. Gowda, S. Foro, P. G. Nirmala and H. Fuess

Comment

As part of a study of the substituent effects on the crystal structures of *N*-(aryl)-arylsulfonamides (Gowda *et al.*, 2009*a,b*; 2010), in the present work, the structure of 4-chloro-2-methyl-*N*-(4-chlorophenyl)benzenesulfonamide (I) has been determined (Fig. 1). The conformations of the N—C bonds in the C—SO₂—NH—C segment have *gauche* torsions with respect to the S=O bonds. Further, the conformation of the N—H bond in the C—SO₂—NH—C segment is *syn* to the *ortho*-methyl group in the sulfonyl benzene ring.

The torsion angle of the segment C—SO₂—NH—C in (I) is 55.0 (2)°, compared to the values of -61.9 (4)° and 69.7 (4)° in the two independent molecules of 4-chloro-2-methyl-*N*-(phenyl)-benzenesulfonamide(II) (Gowda *et al.*, 2009*a*), 74.8 (4)° in 4-chloro-2-methyl-*N*-(2-chlorophenyl)-benzenesulfonamide (III) (Gowda *et al.*, 2009*b*) and 80.1 (3)° in 4-chloro-2-methyl-*N*-(3-chlorophenyl)benzenesulfonamide (IV) (Gowda *et al.*, 2010).

The sulfonyl and the aniline benzene rings in (I) are tilted relative to each other by 67.0 (1)°, compared to the values of 86.6 (2)° and 83.0 (2)° in the two independent molecules of (II), 45.5 (2)° in (III) and 70.9 (1)° in (IV).

The other bond parameters in (I) are similar to those observed in (II), (III), (IV) and other aryl sulfonamides (Perlovich *et al.*, 2006; Gelbrich *et al.*, 2007).

In the crystal, the intermolecular N—H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules *via* inversion-related dimers, into infinite column like chains. Part of the crystal structure is shown in Fig. 2.

Experimental

The solution of *m*-chlorotoluene (10 cc) in chloroform (40 cc) was treated dropwise with chlorosulfonic acid (25 cc) at 0 °C. After the initial evolution of hydrogen chloride subsided, the reaction mixture was brought to room temperature and poured into crushed ice in a beaker. The chloroform layer was separated, washed with cold water and allowed to evaporate slowly. The residual 2-methyl-4-chlorobenzenesulfonylchloride was treated with 4-chloroaniline in the stoichiometric ratio and boiled for ten minutes. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and added to ice cold water (100 cc). The resultant solid 4-chloro-2-methyl-*N*-(4-chlorophenyl)-benzenesulfonamide was filtered under suction and washed thoroughly with cold water. It was then recrystallized to constant melting point from dilute ethanol. The purity of the compound was checked and characterized by recording its infrared and NMR spectra (Savitha & Gowda, 2006).

The rod like colorless single crystals used in X-ray diffraction studies were grown in ethanolic solution by slow evaporation at room temperature.

supplementary materials

Refinement

The H atom of the NH group was located in a difference map and later restrained to N—H = 0.85 (1) Å. The other H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry using a riding model with C—H = 0.93–0.96 Å. All H atoms were refined with isotropic displacement parameters (set to 1.2 times of the U_{eq} of the parent atom).

Figures




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (I), showing the atom labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.




Fig. 2. Molecular packing of (I) with hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines.

4-Chloro-N-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-methylbenzenesulfonamide

Crystal data

C ₁₃ H ₁₁ Cl ₂ NO ₂ S	$F(000) = 648$
$M_r = 316.19$	$D_x = 1.459 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 4597 reflections
$a = 9.2696 (5) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.6\text{--}27.9^\circ$
$b = 9.8591 (5) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.59 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 15.813 (1) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 299 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 95.222 (6)^\circ$	Rod, colorless
$V = 1439.15 (14) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.40 \times 0.32 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$
$Z = 4$	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur	2938 independent reflections
diffractometer with a Sapphire CCD detector	
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2408 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.015$
Rotation method data acquisition using ω and phi scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.4^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.6^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis RED</i> ; Oxford Diffraction, 2009)	$h = -11\text{--}11$

$T_{\min} = 0.798$, $T_{\max} = 0.852$
9765 measured reflections

$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
 $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.122$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$S = 1.04$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0617P)^2 + 0.6589P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2938 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.016$
175 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.42 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2009) Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.3936 (2)	0.0472 (2)	0.60770 (13)	0.0447 (5)
C2	0.3558 (3)	0.1435 (2)	0.54392 (14)	0.0506 (5)
C3	0.2576 (3)	0.1023 (3)	0.47744 (15)	0.0605 (6)
H3	0.2302	0.1633	0.4341	0.073*
C4	0.1994 (3)	-0.0269 (3)	0.47382 (15)	0.0578 (6)
C5	0.2377 (3)	-0.1203 (3)	0.53603 (16)	0.0614 (6)
H5	0.1986	-0.2072	0.5332	0.074*
C6	0.3354 (3)	-0.0827 (2)	0.60306 (16)	0.0554 (6)
H6	0.3625	-0.1451	0.6457	0.066*
C7	0.2731 (2)	0.1334 (2)	0.78675 (12)	0.0438 (5)
C8	0.2587 (3)	0.0085 (2)	0.82521 (18)	0.0632 (6)
H8	0.3367	-0.0511	0.8311	0.076*
C9	0.1286 (3)	-0.0271 (3)	0.85470 (19)	0.0733 (8)

supplementary materials

H9	0.1179	-0.1118	0.8792	0.088*
C10	0.0148 (3)	0.0622 (3)	0.84792 (16)	0.0640 (7)
C11	0.0271 (3)	0.1854 (3)	0.80905 (16)	0.0639 (6)
H11	-0.0510	0.2449	0.8038	0.077*
C12	0.1557 (3)	0.2203 (2)	0.77793 (14)	0.0540 (5)
H12	0.1638	0.3032	0.7507	0.065*
C13	0.4155 (3)	0.2892 (2)	0.54425 (16)	0.0620 (6)
H13A	0.5191	0.2866	0.5449	0.074*
H13B	0.3891	0.3360	0.5938	0.074*
H13C	0.3755	0.3359	0.4942	0.074*
N1	0.4080 (2)	0.17773 (16)	0.75967 (12)	0.0480 (4)
H1N	0.419 (3)	0.2615 (11)	0.7493 (15)	0.058*
O1	0.54310 (18)	-0.03518 (15)	0.74327 (11)	0.0608 (5)
O2	0.62082 (18)	0.17858 (17)	0.68063 (12)	0.0647 (5)
Cl1	0.07375 (8)	-0.06943 (10)	0.39055 (5)	0.0866 (3)
Cl2	-0.14664 (10)	0.01749 (11)	0.88891 (7)	0.1049 (4)
S1	0.50716 (6)	0.08928 (5)	0.69990 (4)	0.04710 (18)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0496 (11)	0.0353 (10)	0.0493 (11)	0.0072 (8)	0.0051 (9)	-0.0033 (8)
C2	0.0611 (13)	0.0453 (11)	0.0469 (11)	0.0087 (10)	0.0122 (10)	0.0014 (9)
C3	0.0727 (16)	0.0628 (15)	0.0462 (12)	0.0138 (12)	0.0067 (11)	0.0053 (11)
C4	0.0528 (13)	0.0721 (16)	0.0485 (12)	0.0095 (11)	0.0047 (10)	-0.0154 (11)
C5	0.0651 (15)	0.0505 (13)	0.0676 (15)	-0.0028 (11)	0.0009 (12)	-0.0119 (11)
C6	0.0657 (14)	0.0378 (11)	0.0614 (14)	0.0029 (10)	-0.0013 (11)	-0.0011 (10)
C7	0.0594 (12)	0.0331 (9)	0.0378 (10)	-0.0032 (9)	-0.0008 (9)	-0.0051 (8)
C8	0.0736 (16)	0.0394 (12)	0.0773 (16)	0.0034 (11)	0.0120 (13)	0.0088 (11)
C9	0.097 (2)	0.0469 (14)	0.0788 (18)	-0.0168 (14)	0.0209 (15)	0.0040 (13)
C10	0.0672 (15)	0.0653 (16)	0.0602 (14)	-0.0184 (13)	0.0099 (12)	-0.0189 (12)
C11	0.0607 (14)	0.0665 (16)	0.0634 (15)	0.0036 (12)	0.0000 (11)	-0.0088 (12)
C12	0.0679 (14)	0.0436 (12)	0.0493 (12)	0.0048 (10)	-0.0019 (10)	0.0004 (9)
C13	0.0809 (17)	0.0437 (12)	0.0613 (14)	0.0034 (11)	0.0059 (12)	0.0148 (11)
N1	0.0615 (11)	0.0253 (8)	0.0569 (10)	-0.0020 (7)	0.0044 (8)	-0.0027 (7)
O1	0.0686 (11)	0.0367 (8)	0.0735 (11)	0.0115 (7)	-0.0136 (8)	0.0025 (7)
O2	0.0521 (9)	0.0510 (9)	0.0914 (13)	-0.0034 (7)	0.0080 (8)	-0.0011 (9)
Cl1	0.0733 (5)	0.1143 (7)	0.0691 (4)	0.0115 (4)	-0.0096 (3)	-0.0264 (4)
Cl2	0.0898 (6)	0.1106 (7)	0.1198 (7)	-0.0418 (5)	0.0403 (5)	-0.0334 (6)
S1	0.0496 (3)	0.0310 (3)	0.0596 (3)	0.0042 (2)	-0.0010 (2)	-0.0008 (2)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

C1—C6	1.389 (3)	C8—H8	0.9300
C1—C2	1.406 (3)	C9—C10	1.370 (4)
C1—S1	1.768 (2)	C9—H9	0.9300
C2—C3	1.387 (3)	C10—C11	1.371 (4)
C2—C13	1.540 (3)	C10—Cl2	1.741 (3)
C3—C4	1.383 (4)	C11—C12	1.374 (3)

C3—H3	0.9300	C11—H11	0.9300
C4—C5	1.370 (4)	C12—H12	0.9300
C4—Cl1	1.728 (2)	C13—H13A	0.9600
C5—C6	1.381 (3)	C13—H13B	0.9600
C5—H5	0.9300	C13—H13C	0.9600
C6—H6	0.9300	N1—S1	1.6299 (19)
C7—C12	1.382 (3)	N1—H1N	0.850 (10)
C7—C8	1.385 (3)	O1—S1	1.4302 (15)
C7—N1	1.426 (3)	O2—S1	1.4271 (17)
C8—C9	1.378 (4)		
C6—C1—C2	121.0 (2)	C8—C9—H9	120.0
C6—C1—S1	117.35 (17)	C9—C10—C11	120.7 (2)
C2—C1—S1	121.56 (17)	C9—C10—Cl2	119.3 (2)
C3—C2—C1	116.7 (2)	C11—C10—Cl2	120.0 (2)
C3—C2—C13	119.1 (2)	C10—C11—C12	119.5 (2)
C1—C2—C13	124.2 (2)	C10—C11—H11	120.2
C4—C3—C2	121.9 (2)	C12—C11—H11	120.2
C4—C3—H3	119.1	C11—C12—C7	120.6 (2)
C2—C3—H3	119.1	C11—C12—H12	119.7
C5—C4—C3	121.0 (2)	C7—C12—H12	119.7
C5—C4—Cl1	119.8 (2)	C2—C13—H13A	109.5
C3—C4—Cl1	119.2 (2)	C2—C13—H13B	109.5
C4—C5—C6	118.7 (2)	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
C4—C5—H5	120.7	C2—C13—H13C	109.5
C6—C5—H5	120.7	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
C5—C6—C1	120.8 (2)	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
C5—C6—H6	119.6	C7—N1—S1	124.49 (13)
C1—C6—H6	119.6	C7—N1—H1N	118.8 (17)
C12—C7—C8	119.3 (2)	S1—N1—H1N	108.8 (17)
C12—C7—N1	118.93 (19)	O2—S1—O1	119.17 (11)
C8—C7—N1	121.7 (2)	O2—S1—N1	105.07 (10)
C9—C8—C7	119.8 (2)	O1—S1—N1	107.46 (10)
C9—C8—H8	120.1	O2—S1—C1	111.38 (11)
C7—C8—H8	120.1	O1—S1—C1	106.80 (10)
C10—C9—C8	120.1 (2)	N1—S1—C1	106.20 (10)
C10—C9—H9	120.0		
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.5 (3)	C8—C9—C10—Cl2	-177.8 (2)
S1—C1—C2—C3	-175.33 (17)	C9—C10—C11—C12	-1.1 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C13	-180.0 (2)	Cl2—C10—C11—C12	179.15 (19)
S1—C1—C2—C13	4.2 (3)	C10—C11—C12—C7	-1.1 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.0 (3)	C8—C7—C12—C11	1.9 (3)
C13—C2—C3—C4	-179.5 (2)	N1—C7—C12—C11	-175.1 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.4 (4)	C12—C7—N1—S1	-131.68 (18)
C2—C3—C4—Cl1	178.18 (18)	C8—C7—N1—S1	51.4 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.3 (4)	C7—N1—S1—O2	173.07 (17)
Cl1—C4—C5—C6	-178.28 (19)	C7—N1—S1—O1	-59.06 (19)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.2 (4)	C7—N1—S1—C1	54.95 (19)
C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.6 (4)	C6—C1—S1—O2	145.42 (18)

supplementary materials


S1—C1—C6—C5	175.39 (19)	C2—C1—S1—O2	−38.6 (2)
C12—C7—C8—C9	−0.5 (4)	C6—C1—S1—O1	13.7 (2)
N1—C7—C8—C9	176.4 (2)	C2—C1—S1—O1	−170.27 (18)
C7—C8—C9—C10	−1.6 (4)	C6—C1—S1—N1	−100.72 (18)
C8—C9—C10—C11	2.5 (4)	C2—C1—S1—N1	75.27 (19)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , °)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
N1—H1N…O1 ⁱ	0.85 (1)	2.04 (1)	2.868 (2)	166 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2$.

Fig. 1



supplementary materials

Fig. 2

